

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/761,047	01/20/2004	Stephen R. Van Doren	200313631-1	1166
22879 75	590 06/15/2006		EXAMINER	
HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY			BURGESS, BARBARA N	
	00, 3404 E. HARMONY R AL PROPERTY ADMINIS		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400			2157	
		•	DATE MAILED: 06/15/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Communication of the section of the

Stepe a R. Vano D. ren 1900 E. L. .

Sector Edmand altern Constanting areas (j. 112) in

.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/761,047	VAN DOREN ET AL.	VAN DOREN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	Art Unit	
	Barbara N. Burgess	2157	/	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence addre	ss	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re on. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT statute, cause the application to become ABA	CATION. sply be timely filed IHS from the mailing date of this commit ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	20 January 2004.			
• - • • - • • - • • • • • • • • • • • •	This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for all	llowance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the me	erits is	
closed in accordance with the practice ur	•	•		
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the applic	ation.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	thdrawn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)] accepted or b) ☐ objected to b	y the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection t	to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the o	correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1	1.121(d).	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by t	he Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-	152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		119(a)-(d) or (f).		
1. Certified copies of the priority docu				
2. Certified copies of the priority docu	•			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	•	received in this National Sta	ige	
application from the International B	, , , ,			
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies not r	eceived.		
Attachment(s)		(DTO 440)		
1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94		ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date		
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1-20-04</u>. 		formal Patent Application (PTO-15	2)	
	٠, 🗀 ٥١،٥٠٠	- -		

Art Unit: 2157

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Cypher (US Patent 6,877,056 B2).

As per claims 1, 29, and 34, Cypher discloses a system and method comprising:

- A first node that provides a broadcast request for data, the first node receiving a
 read conflict response to the broadcast request from the first node, the read conflict
 response indicating that a second node has a pending broadcast read request for
 the data (column 6, lines 40-50, column 14, lines 35-50, column 20, lines 20-60);
- A third node that provides the requested data to the first node in response to the broadcast request from the first node, the first node filling the data provided by the third node in a cache associated with the first node (column 21, lines 25-40).

As per claim 2, Cypher discloses the system of claim 1, wherein the broadcast request provided by the first node is a source broadcast read request (column 8, lines 45-57).

As per claim 3, Cypher discloses the system of claim 2, wherein the first node provides a read conflict response to the broadcast read request from the second node, the read conflict response provided by the first node indicating that the broadcast read request of the first node conflicts with the pending broadcast read request of the second node (column 15, lines 1-18).

As per claim 4, Cypher discloses the system of claim 3, wherein the third node provides the requested data to the second node in response to the broadcast read request from the second node, the second node filling the data provided by the third node in a cache associated with the second node (column 22, lines 38-49).

As per claim 5, Cypher discloses the system of claim 1, wherein the request for data broadcast by the first node is a source broadcast write request (column 17, lines 15-30).

As per claim 6, Cypher discloses the system of claim 5, wherein the first node provides a second conflict response to the pending broadcast read request from the second node, the second conflict response provided by the first node indicating that the write request broadcast by the first node conflicts with the broadcast read request from the second node (column 23, lines 25-48).

Art Unit: 2157

As per claim 7, Cypher discloses the system of claim 6, wherein the broadcast request provided by the first node is broadcast using a first cache coherency protocol, the second node in response to the second conflict response provided by the first node reissues the pending broadcast read request of the second node (column 21, lines 33-44).

As per claim 8, Cypher discloses the system of claim 7, wherein the first cache coherency protocol is a source broadcast cache coherency protocol and the second node reissues the broadcast read request using a forward progress cache coherency protocol (column 9, lines 11-19).

As per claim 9, Cypher discloses the system of claim 6, wherein the third node provides the requested data to the second node in response to the pending broadcast read request of the second node, the second conflict response provided by the first node preventing the second node from filling the data provided by the third node in a cache associated with the second node (column 19, lines 50-67).

As per claim 10, Cypher disclose the system of claim 6, wherein the third node provides shared data to the second node in response to the pending broadcast read request of the second node, the second node filling a cache associated with the second node with the shared data and associating an invalid state with the shared data filled in the cache associated with the second node (column 23, lines 23-45).

As per claim 11, Cypher discloses the system of claim 1, wherein the third node comprises one of a home node and an owner node (column 5, lines 12-28).

As per claim 12, Cypher discloses the system of claim 1, wherein the broadcast request provided by the first node is broadcast using a source broadcast cache coherency protocol (column 13, lines 40-58).

As per claim 13, Cypher discloses the system of claim 1, wherein the first node defines a first processor and the second node defines a second processor, the first and second processors having an associated cache, the associated caches of the first and second processors each comprising a plurality of cache lines, each cache line having a respective tag address that identifies associated data and each cache line having state information that indicates a state of the associated data for the respective cache line, the first and second processors being capable of communicating with each other and with other nodes of the system tllrough an interconnect (column 25, lines 1-38).

As per claim 14, Cypher discloses the system of claim 13, further comprising a first cache controller associated with the first processor and a second cache controller associated with the second processor, the first cache controller being operative to manage data requests and responses for the associated cache of the first processor, the first cache controller effecting state transitions associated with the data in the

associated cache of the first processor based on the data requests and responses for the associated cache of the first processor, the second cache controller being operative to manage data requests and responses for the associated cache of the second processor, the second cache controller effecting state transitions associated with the data in the associated cache of the second processor based on the data requests and responses for the associated cache of the second processor (column 12, lines 34-50).

As per claim 15, Cypher discloses the system of claim 13, wherein the system implements a hybrid cache coherency protocol wherein each of the first, second, and third processors employs a source broadcast-based protocol to issue a request for the data and provide responses for the data, and employs an associated second protocol to reissue a request for the data in response to the request failing in the source broadcast protocol, the second protocol employing a forward progress technique (column 20, lines 50-60).

As per claims 16, 24, Cypher discloses a multi-processor network and computer system comprising:

- A first processor node operative to issue a first source broadcast request for data (column 12, lines 23-39);
- A second processor node operative to issue a second source broadcast request for the data (column 12, lines 45-55);

Art Unit: 2157

 A third node operative to provide a data response in response to the respective source broadcast requests of the first and second processor nodes, the third node being one of an owner processor node and a memory node (column 18, lines 1-12);

- The second processor node being operative to provide a read conflict response to the first source broadcast request when the second source broadcast request is a read request, the second processor node being operative to provide a second conflict response to the first source broadcast request when the second source broadcast request is a write request (column 21, lines 30-45);
- The first processor node being operative in response to receiving a read conflict
 response from the second processor to implement a cache with the data provided by
 the third node (column 22, lines 25-46).

As per claim 17, Cypher discloses the multi-processor network of claim 16, wherein the first processor node is operative in response to a write conflict response from the second processor node to issue a request for the data using a forward progress technique (column 24, lines 12-45).

As per claim 18, Cypher discloses the multi-processor network of claim 17, wherein the second conflict response from the second processor node prevents the first processor node from implementing the cache with the data provided by the third node (column 25, lines 18-34).

1

Page 7

As per claim 19, Cypher discloses the multi-processor network of claim 16, wherein the first source broadcast request is one of a source broadcast write request and a source broadcast read request (column 9, lines 35-56).

As per claim 20, Cypher discloses the multi-processor network of claim 16, wherein the source broadcast request issued by the first processor node exists concurrently with the source broadcast request issued by the second processor node (column 15, lines 25-55).

As per claim 21, Cypher discloses the multi-processor network of claim 16, wherein the third processor node provides shared data to the second processor node in response to the second processor node providing the second source broadcast request as a broadcast read request, the second processor node filling the shared data in a cache associated with the second processor node and associating an invalid state with the data in the cache associated with the second processor node (column 28, lines 22-46).

As per claim 22, Cypher discloses the multi-processor network of claim 16, wherein each of the first, second, and third processor nodes has an associated cache that comprises a plurality of cache lines, each cache line having a respective tag address that identifies associated data and having state information that indicates a state of the associated data for the respective cache line, the first, second, and third processor nodes being capable of communicating with each other and with other nodes of the

Page 9

system through an interconnect, the multi-processor network further comprising a first cache controller associated with the first processor node, a second cache controller associated with the second processor node, and a third cache controller associated with the third processor node, the first cache controller being operative to manage data requests and responses for the associated cache of the first processor, the first cache controller effecting state transitions associated with the data in the associated cache of the first processor based on the data requests and responses for the associated cache of the first processor, the second cache controller being operative to manage data requests and responses for the associated cache of the second processor, the second cache controller effecting state transitions associated with the data in the associated cache of the second processor based on the data requests and responses for the associated cache of the second processor, the third cache controller being operative to manage data requests and responses for the associated cache of the third processor, the third cache controller effecting state transitions associated with the data in the associated cache of the third processor based on the data requests and responses for the associated cache of the third processor (column 20, lines 45-67, column 21, lines 1-44, column 22, lines 27-49).

As per claim 23, Cypher discloses the multi-processor network of claim 16, wherein the network implements a hybrid cache coherency protocol in which each of the first, second, and third processor nodes employs a source broadcast-based protocol to issue requests for data and provide responses to requests, and employs an associated

Art Unit: 2157

protocol employing a forward progress technique to reissue a request for data in response to a request failing in the source broadcast protocol (column 29, lines 20-30).

As per claim 25, Cypher discloses the computer system of claim 24, wherein the first processor in response to the second conflict response of the second processor is operative to reissue the source broadcast request from the first processor by issuing a request for the data employing a forward progress protocol (column 20, lines 19-33).

As per claim 26, Cypher discloses the computer system of claim 24, wherein the second conflict response from the second processor prevents the first processor from filling the data provided by the third processor in the cache associated with the first processor (column 22, lines 45-67).

As per claim 27, Cypher discloses the computer system of claim 24, wherein the third processor provides a shared data response to the first processor in response to the source broadcast request for the data, the first processor being operative to place the shared data in the cache associated with the first processor and associate an invalid state with the data in the cache associated with the first processor (column 27, lines 15-40).

As per claim 28, Cypher discloses the computer system of claim 24, wherein the computer system implements hybrid cache coherency protocol in which each of the first,

Art Unit: 2157

second, and third processor employs a source broadcast-based protocol to issue requests for data and provide responses to requests, and employs an associated protocol employing a forward progress technique to reissue a request for data in response to a request failing in the source broadcast protocol (column 12, lines 32-50).

As per claim 30, Cypher discloses the system of claim 29, wherein the means for providing a broadcast request from the first node comprises means for providing a broadcast read request for the data from the first node, the system further comprising:

- Means for providing a read conflict response from the first node to the second node
 in response to the broadcast read request of the second node, the read conflict
 response from the first node indicating that the pending broadcast read request of the
 second node conflicts with the broadcast read request for the data from the first node
 (column 20, lines 33-56);
- Means for providing the requested data to the second node from the third node in response to the broadcast read request of the second node (column 21, lines 10-35);
- Means for filling the data provided to the second node by the third node in a cache
 associated with the second node in response to the second node receiving the read
 conflict response from the first node (column 22, lines 30-55).

As per claim 31, Cypher discloses the system of claim 29, wherein the means providing a broadcast request from the first node comprises means for providing a broadcast write request for the data from the first node, the system further comprising:

Application/Control Number: 10/761,047 Page 12

Art Unit: 2157

 Means for providing a second conflict response from the first node to the second node in response to the pending broadcast read request of the second node, the second conflict response from the first node indicating that the pending broadcast read request of the second node conflicts with the broadcast write request for the data from the first node (column 18, lines 14-39);

• Means for reissuing the broadcast read request of the second node employing a forward progress protocol in response to the second conflict response provided by the first node (column 19, lines 10-27).

As per claim 32, Cypher disclose the system of claim 31, further comprising means for preventing the second node from placing the data provided by the third node in a cache associated with the second node in response to the second conflict response provided by the first node (column 10, lines 22-45).

As per claim 33, Cypher discloses the system of claim 29, wherein the means for providing the data from the third node to the first node is operative to provide shared data to the first processor, the means for placing the data provided by the third node placing the shared data in the cache associated with the first processor, the system further comprising means for associating an invalid state with the data in the cache associated with the first processor (column 25, lines 38-55).

Application/Control Number: 10/761,047 Page 13

Art Unit: 2157

As per claim 35, Cypher disclose the method of claim 34, wherein providing a source broadcast request from the first node comprises providing a source broadcast read request from the first node, the method further comprising:

- Providing a read conflict response from the first node to the second node in response to the pending broadcast read request of the second node, the read conflict response from the first node indicating that the pending broadcast read request of the second node conflicts with the source broadcast read request provided by the first node (column 22, lines 1-40);
- Providing the requested data to the second node from the third node in response to the pending broadcast read request of the second node (column 22, lines 45-67);
- Placing the data provided to the second node by the third node in a cache associated with the second node (column 21, lines 12-36).

As per claim 36, Cypher discloses the method of claim 34, wherein providing a broadcast request from the first node comprises providing a broadcast write request from the first node, the method further comprising:

- Providing a second conflict response from the first node to the second node in response to the pending broadcast read request of the second node, the second conflict response from the first node indicating that the pending broadcast read request of the second node conflicts with the broadcast write request provided by the first node (column 18, lines 35-56);
- Preventing placement of the data in a cache associated with the second node in

response to the second conflict response provided by the first node (column 20, lines 22-39).

As per claim 37, Cypher discloses the method of claim 36, further comprising reissuing the source broadcast read request of the second node as a forward progress protocol read request for the data from the second node in response to the second conflict response provided by the first node (column 25, lines 1-30).

As per claim 38, Cypher disclose a computer system comprising a hybrid cache coherency protocol that employs source broadcast protocol mode and a forward progress protocol mode, the computer system being operative to fill a cache line associated with a source node with requested data provided in response to a source broadcast protocol mode request for the data when there is a source broadcast protocol read conflict with another node in the computer system, the computer system being further operative to reissue a request for the data from a source node using a forward progress protocol mode request for the data when there is a source broadcast protocol second conflict with another node in the computer system (column 27, lines 12-33, 55-67).

Application/Control Number: 10/761,047 Page 15

Art Unit: 2157

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Barbara N. Burgess whose telephone number is (571) 272-3996. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00am-4:00pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Ettinene can be reached on (571) 272-4001. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Barbara N Burgess Examiner Art Unit 2157

June 12, 2006

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
**ECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100